

Annex D. Consistency between the independent readings, item by item

The protocol requires the consistency statistics to be published as their own table, whatever the values are. Table D1 gives every coded item with its agreement before adjudication, its nominal alpha, its secondary alpha where the codebook names one, and whether it fell below a threshold fixed in advance.

Table D1. Consistency between the two independent readings, every item.

Item	Agreement before adjudication	Krippendorff's alpha (nominal)	Secondary alpha (n)	Below threshold
What the provider says about its own role under the Act	10 of 13	0.677	ordinal 0.664 (n 13)	Yes
A page about the EU AI Act	11 of 13	0.749	ordinal 0.588 (n 13)	No
Statement on adhering to the code of practice	11 of 13	0.797	ordinal 0.958 (n 13)	No

Item	Agreement before adjudication	Krippendorff's alpha (nominal)	Secondary alpha (n)	Below threshold
Legal entity named by the provider	10 of 13	0.761	None	Yes
How the model is released (open or closed weights)	12 of 13	0.862	ordinal 0.978 (n 13)	No
Earliest documented date of outside access to the model	12 of 13	0.92	ratio 1.0 (n 12; dates as day numbers)	No
Earliest documented public release date of the system	9 of 13	0.647	ratio 0.964 (n 7; dates as day numbers)	Yes
Whether the provider's documents say the system produces content	10 of 13	0.641	ordinal 0.714 (n 13)	Yes
The coded generative status agrees with the system's selection	13 of 13	1.0	None	No
Training-content summary	11 of 13	0.791	ordinal 0.883 (n 13)	No
Summary or related document served live when read	12 of 13	0.837	ordinal 0.837 (n 13)	No
Where the summary or related document sits on the provider's site	11 of 13	0.799	ordinal 0.764 (n 13)	No
The document names the model it covers	12 of 13	0.837	ordinal 0.837 (n 13)	No
The document states the kinds of training content	11 of 13	0.789	ordinal 0.894 (n 13)	No
The document states the categories of sources	12 of 13	0.885	ordinal 0.981 (n 13)	No
The document names particular sources	10 of 13	0.694	ordinal 0.923 (n 13)	Yes
The document refers to the AI Office template	12 of 13	0.863	ordinal 0.877 (n 13)	No
The document carries a date or a version	11 of 13	0.789	ordinal 0.882 (n 13)	No
Copyright policy	13 of 13	1.0	ordinal 1.0 (n 13)	No
The copyright policy refers to EU copyright law	13 of 13	1.0	ordinal 1.0 (n 13)	No
Route for rightsholders to reserve their rights against training	12 of 13	0.874	ordinal 0.949 (n 13)	No
Statement on the provider's own web crawling for training	12 of 13	0.897	ordinal 0.974 (n 13)	No
The copyright policy carries a date or a version	13 of 13	1.0	ordinal 1.0 (n 13)	No
Statement that technical documentation is kept for the authorities	11 of 13	0.451	ordinal 0.518 (n 13)	Yes
Documentation for developers who build on the model	12 of 13	0.648	ordinal 0.581 (n 13)	Yes
Model card or other per-model documentation	13 of 13	Not defined (no variation)	ordinal undefined (n 13)	No
The model card carries a date or a version	13 of 13	1.0	ordinal 1.0 (n 13)	No
Conditions of the open-source exemption stated	12 of 13	0.874	ordinal 0.949 (n 13)	No
Statement on whether the model has systemic risk	12 of 13	0.775	ordinal 0.799 (n 13)	No
Statement that users are told they are interacting with an AI system	12 of 13	0.89	ordinal 0.935 (n 12)	No
That statement carries a date or a version	12 of 13	0.89	ordinal 0.938 (n 13)	No
Statement that the system's outputs are marked	12 of 13	0.905	ordinal 0.989 (n 13)	No
The marking method is named	12 of 13	0.908	ordinal 0.989 (n 13)	No
The kinds of output the marking covers	11 of 13	0.8	ordinal 0.963 (n 13)	No
List of the kinds of output stated as marked	10 of 13	0.739	None	Yes
Which models carry the marking, and from when	11 of 13	0.812	ordinal 0.964 (n 13)	No
Public route to check an output for the marking	12 of 13	0.893	ordinal 0.964 (n 13)	No
The marking statement carries a date or a version	12 of 13	0.909	ordinal 0.975 (n 13)	No
Guidance for deployers on disclosing AI-generated content	13 of 13	1.0	ordinal 1.0 (n 13)	No
Own reading: when the AI nature of a system is obvious (Article 50(1))	12 of 13	0.775	None	No

Item	Agreement before adjudication	Krippendorff's alpha (nominal)	Secondary alpha (n)	Below threshold
Own reading: marking as far as technically feasible (Article 50(2))	11 of 13	0.701	None	No
Own reading: assistive editing functions (Article 50(2))	12 of 13	0.832	None	No
Own reading: the exemptions and limits in Article 50(4)	12 of 13	0.775	None	No
Own reading: a sufficiently detailed summary (Article 53(1)(d))	12 of 13	0.638	None	Yes
Own reading: the AI Office template (Article 53(1)(d))	12 of 13	0.775	None	No
Own reading: the open-source exemption (Article 53(2))	11 of 13	0.451	None	Yes
Own reading: systemic risk (Article 51(2))	11 of 13	0.451	None	Yes
A page in another language met during the reading	12 of 13	0.828	None	No

Note: agreement is counted over the providers read twice; the secondary alpha treats ordered answers in order, or dates as day numbers. Source: the consistency figures file.